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Executive Summary

Additional data and appendices are available online http://www.chdi.org/news-detail.php?id=33 or contact Jeffrey Vanderploeg, PhD, jvanderploeg@uchc.edu for more information.

**Call and Episode Volume:** In March 2015, 211 received 1,965 calls including 1,450 calls (73.8%) handled by EMPS providers and 515 calls (26.2%) handled by 211 (e.g., calls for other information or resources, calls transferred to 911). This month represents a 2% decrease in call volume compared with March 2014 (n=2,010).

Among the **1,450 episodes of care** generated this month, episode volume ranged from 150 episodes (Eastern service area) to 403 episodes (Hartford service area). The statewide average service reach per 1,000 children this month was 1.78, with service area rates ranging from 1.30 (Southwestern) to 2.55 (Hartford) relative to their specific child populations. Additionally, the number of episodes generated relative to the number of children in poverty in each service area yielded a statewide average poverty service reach rate of 3.73 per 1,000 children in poverty, with service area rates ranging from 2.59 (New Haven) to 5.16 (Central).

**Mobility:** Statewide mobility was 93.0% this month, 0.2% higher than in March 2014. Five of the six service areas were above the 90% benchmark this month, with performance ranging from 89.1% (Central) to 96.4% (Eastern). Mobility for individual providers ranged from 86% (Well-EMPS:Dnby) to 100% (Wheeler-EMPS:Meridn; Well-EMPS:Torr). Ten of the fourteen individual providers had mobility rates at or above the 90% benchmark.

**Response Time:** Statewide, this month **90% of mobile episodes received a face-to-face response in 45 minutes or less,** which is higher than March 2014 (86%). All six service areas were above the 80% benchmark this month, with performance ranging from 82% (New Haven) to 97% (Southwestern). In addition, the statewide median mobile response time was 26 minutes. All sites met the benchmark of at least 80% of mobile responses provided in 45 minutes or less.

**Length of Stay (LOS):** Statewide, among discharged episodes, there were **22 (7.9%) plus stabilization follow-up episodes exceeding 45 days.** This month the statewide median LOS for discharged episodes with a crisis response of plus stabilization follow-up was 14.5 days. The median LOS for discharged episodes with a crisis response of plus stabilization follow-up ranged from 8.0 days (Western) to 32.0 days (New Haven).
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Figure 1. Total Call Volume by Call Type
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Figure 7. Statewide 211 Call Disposition
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Figure 9. Actual Initial EMPS Response by Provider
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Figure 11. Total Mobile Episodes with a Response Time Under 45 Minutes

Figure 12. Total Mobile Episodes with a Response Time Under 45 Minutes by Provider

Figure 13. Median Mobile Response Time in Minutes

Figure 14. Median Mobile Response Time by Provider in Minutes

Section IV: Emergency Department Referrals

Figure 15. Emergency Department Referrals (% of Total EMPS Episodes)

Figure 16. Emergency Department Referrals by Provider (% of Total EMPS Episodes)

Note: Count total ED referrals are in parenthesis
### Section V: Length of Stay (LOS)

Table 1. LOS for Discharged Episodes with a Crisis Response of Plus Stabilization Follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharged Episodes with a Crisis Response of Plus Stabilization Follow-up</th>
<th>Number of Episodes</th>
<th>Mean LOS (in days)</th>
<th>Median LOS (in days)</th>
<th>Percent Exceeding 45 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATEWIDE</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>7.9% (n = 22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>21.1% (n = 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>0% (n = 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5.3% (n = 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>30.4% (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0% (n = 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.8% (n = 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Blank cells indicate no data was available for that particular inclusion criteria.